IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y. DIF

★ OCT 1 2 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**BROOKLYN OFFICE** 

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
• IN RE: HOLOCAUST VICTIM ASSETS LITIGATION	X : Case No. CV 96-4849 (ERK)(MDG) : (Consolidated with CV 96-5161 : and CV 97-461)
This Document Relates to: All Cases	: : MEMORANDUM & ORDER :
	: : : X

MEMORANDUM & ORDER APPROVING CRT SET 23: 2 SUMMARY DENIALS TO APPEALS AS CERTIFIED BY THE CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 30 OF THE RULES GOVERNING THE CLAIMS RESOLUTION PROCESS

## KORMAN, J.:

As provided under the Settlement Agreement, and in accordance with the procedures established in the December 8, 2000 and December 14, 2006 Memoranda & Orders and in the Plan of Allocation and Distribution of Settlement Proceeds, the Claims Resolution Tribunal (the "CRT") is hereby requesting the Court's approval of the CRT's twenty-third set of appeal decisions consisting of 2 Summary Denials based upon Appeals submitted to the CRT. This set includes 2 Summary Denials to Appeals of Certified Award Denials listed in Annex A to this Order.

Under Article 30 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules"), an appeal must be based upon a plausible suggestion of error regarding the conclusions reached by the CRT. Any appeals submitted without a plausible suggestion of error shall be summarily denied. At my direction, the CRT has analyzed the information provided in the Appeals listed in Annex A. Upon careful consideration, the CRT has concluded that the Appellants whose claims are listed in Annex A did not raise a plausible suggestion of error in the CRT's findings. Accordingly, the CRT recommends that these Appeals be summarily denied.

I have reviewed the CRT's analyze and I concur with the CRT's recommendations.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that the 2 Appeals to the claims listed in Annex A shall be summarily denied and

the relevant Appellants so informed;

It is further ordered that the CRT shall provide the Court with the name and address of every

Appellant whose appeal is herewith summarily denied, which information shall be filed with the

Court under seal.

Brooklyn, New York

Dated: October 16, 2011 SO ORDERED: /s/(ERK)

Edward R. Korman United States District Judge

## CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

CRT BATCH 23 - ANNEX A UNREDACTED AND CONFIDENTIAL

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Tribunal, as amended (the "Rules"), the Claims Resolution Tribunal hereby recommends as of 11 October 2011 that the following Appeals to Certified Award Denials be summarily denied by the Court:

Cla	aimed Account Owner Name and Claim Number	Original Decision Type	Appeal Number
1.	Pfeifer 218132; 221280	Insufficient Evidence Denial	3428
2.	Friedrich 213757	Disposition Denial	3429